BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 183/2014

Toxics Link Vs. U.O.I & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: : Mr. Ritwick Dutta and Ms. Maneka Kaur, Advs. Applicant Respondent No. 1 : Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. Respondent No. 3 ; Mr. Raj kumar, with Mr. Bhupinder Kumar and Ms. Niti Choudhary, LA. For DGFT : Mr. A.K. Prasad, Ms. Priyanka, Mr. Jigdal, Adv. Respondent No. 4 : Mr.N. Sai Vinod, Mr. Nikhil Nayyar, Advs. Respondent No.5 :Mr. Anil Shrivastav and Mr. Sanyam Saxena, Advs. : Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Adv. Respondent No. 6 Respondent No. 7 : Mr. G. Indira, Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran Adv. : Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Adv. Respondent No. 9 Respondent No. 12 : Mr. Balendu Sekher, Adv., Mr. akshay abrol, Adv.with Dinesh Jindal, LO Respondent No. 13 :Mr. S.S. Rebello and Mr. Debarshi Bhugan. Advs. Respondent No. 14 : Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv. Respondent No. 11 : Mr. D.K. Thakur, Mr. Deepak Jain and Alok Misra Advs. Respondent No. 15 : Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv. Respondent No. 10 ..Mr. Shubham Bhalla, Adv. Respondent No. 17 :Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. Respondent No. 20 :Mr. Rajul Shrivastav and Ms. Sucheta Yadav, Advs. Respondent No. 21 :Mr. Tayenjam Momo, Adv. Respondent No. 22 : Mr. Pragyan Sharma and Mr. Pulkit Prakash, Mr.Ravi Kant, Advs. Nagaland& Nagaland PCB :Ms. Enato<mark>li, Mr. Ami</mark>t kumar Adv. Respondent No. 24 : Mr. A.K. Panda, Adv. Mr. M. P<mark>a</mark>ikaray ,Advs. Respondent No. 26 :Mr. Anup Singh, Adv. Respondent No. 27 : Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv. : Mr. M. Yogesh Kanna, with Mr. Jayant Patel, Advs. Respondent No. 28 Respondent No. 30 : Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. Respondent No. 31 : Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Adv., Mr.Daleep Kr. Dhayani, Advs. Karnatka and Karnatka PCB: Mr. Devraj Ashok, Adv. : Mr. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen, Advs. Respondent No. 61 West Bengal SPCB : Mr. Amit, Ms. Asha Nayar Basu, Advs. Mr. Upendra Misra, and Ms. Aparajit Mukherjee for the State of Meghalaya Ms. Aruna Mathur, Mr. shobhit and Mr. Avneesh Respondent No. 33 Arputham, Advs. For the Sikkim Kerela & Kerela SPCB : Mr. Jogy, Mr. Beena, advs. State of Assam : Ms. Deepika Ghatowar and Ms. Kankana Arandhra,

State of Bihar and BPCB : Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Mr. Gautam Singh and Ms.
Divya Singh, Advs.

Andaman and Nicobar Admin. : Mr. Sarthak Chaturvedi Mr. Rohit Pandey and Mr.
Devendra Nath Gipathi, Advs.

and Mr. Adhiraj Rajawat, Advs.

: Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG, Mr. Saurabh Rajpal

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Item No.7	
December 3,	Heard. Perused Record.
2015	
АМ	Obligations or responsibilities spelt out under the E-
	waste Rules, 2011 need to be made more effective and for
	that purpose more responsive vis.a. vis every stakeholder

Advs.

Rajasthan PCB

country wide. We, therefore, feel that the scheme regarding enforcement of these obligations/responsibilities within given time frame at the pain of damages being made payable in the event of failure to perform or discharge such obligations/responsibilities needs to be spelt out. Learned Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that he will present the necessary scheme and circulate it between all the responding parties before the next date. List this case on 7th January, 2015. (U.D. Salvi),EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)